arXiv:1109.0679v1 [math.AG] 4 Sep 2011

LATTICE MAP FOR ANDERSON T-MOTIVES: FIRST APPROACH

D. Logachev

Abstract. Let M be a T-motive of dimension n and rank $r = 2n$ given by an explicit simple equation. We consider the lattice map in a "neighbourhood" of a T-motive $M(0)$ which is the n-th power of the Carlitz module of rank 2. We prove a result that can suggest that this lattice map could be an isomorphism in this "neighbourhood" (see Theorem 3 for the exact statement).

0. Introduction.

T-motives ([G], 5.4.2, 5.4.12, 5.4.10) are the function field analogs of abelian varieties. We start from the classical

Theorem 0.1. Abelian varieties of dimension g over $\mathbb{C}$ are in $1-1$ correspondence with lattices satisfying Riemann condition, of dimension $2g$ in $\mathbb{C}^g$.

(here and below we consider lattices up to a linear transformation of the ambient space).

Our knowledge on the function field analog of this theorem is very poor, and the purpose of the present paper is to get a result towards this analog. Throughout all the paper we shall consider for simplicity only T-motives M over the affine line A^1 such that their nilpotent operators N (see 1.3.1 below for its definition) are equal to 0. Let r, n be respectively the rank and dimension of M. There exists a notion of uniformizable M. If M is uniformizable then we can associate it a lattice of rank r in n-dimensional space (see Definition 1.4 below).

For $n = 1$ the situation is quite analogous to the Theorem 0.1:

Theorem 0.2 ([D]). There is a $1-1$ correspondence between T-motives of rank r and dimension 1 (= Drinfeld modules) over $\mathbb{C}_\infty$ — the function field analog of $\mathbb{C}$ — and lattices of rank r in $\mathbb{C}_\infty$.

Further we shall consider only pure M (see [G], 5.5.2 for the definition). For $n = r - 1$ the duality theory gives us a similar result:

Theorem 0.3 ([L]). There is a $1-1$ correspondence between pure T-motives of rank r and dimension $r-1$ over $\mathbb{C}_\infty$ (they are all uniformizable) and lattices of rank r in $\mathbb{C}_\infty^{r-1}$ having dual.

For arbitrary n, r we have

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11G09; Secondary 11G15, 14K22.

Key words and phrases. T-motives; infinitesimal lattice map.

Typeset by $\mathcal{AMS}$-TEX

Theorem 0.4 ([A]). If M is uniformizable then its lattice (denoted by $L(M)$) is well-defined. Not all M are uniformizable.

We know neither the image of the lattice map $M \mapsto L(M)$ nor its fibre. Taking into consideration

Theorem 0.5 ([H], Theorem 3.2). The dimension of the moduli space of pure T-motives of rank r and dimension n is equal to $n(r-n)$.

and the obvious fact that the moduli space of lattices of rank r in $\mathbb{C}_\infty^n$ has the same dimension $n(r-n)$ we can state

Conjecture 0.6. Let us consider the lattice map $M \mapsto L(M)$ from the set of pure uniformizable T-motives to the set of lattices. Its image is open, and its fibre at a generic point is discrete.

Remark 0.7. Preliminary results of [L1] suggest that the condition of purity in 0.6 is essential: the dimension of the fibre conjecturally can be > 0 for the non-pure case.

The present paper contains a result supporting 0.6. Namely, we consider a set of T-motives of dimension n and rank $r = 2n$ given by an explicit simple equation

$$Te_* = \theta e_* + A\tau e_* + \tau^2 e_* \tag{0.8}$$

where $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C}_\infty)$, see below for the notations. We consider the lattice map in a "neighbourhood" of a T-motive $M(0)$ given by the condition $A = 0$. The main result — Theorem 3 — gives some evidence that Conjecture 0.6 holds, and moreover that the lattice map is a $1-1$ correspondence in a "neighbourhood" of $M(0)$.

1. Definitions.

Let q be a power of a prime p. Let $\mathbb{Z}_\infty := \mathbb{F}_q[\theta]$, $\mathbb{R}_\infty := \mathbb{F}_q((1/\theta))$, $\mathbb{C}_\infty$ the completion of its algebraic closure ($\mathbb{Z}_\infty$, $\mathbb{R}_\infty$, $\mathbb{C}_\infty$ are the function field analogs of $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{C}$ respectively). Let $\mathbb{C}_\infty[T,\tau]$ be the Anderson ring, i.e. the ring of non-commutative polynomials satisfying the following relations (here $a \in \mathbb{C}_\infty$):

$$Ta = aT,\ T\tau = \tau T,\ \tau a = a^q \tau \tag{1.1}$$

Definition 1.2. ([G], 5.4.2, 5.4.12, 5.4.10). A T-motive[1] M is a left $\mathbb{C}_\infty[T,\tau]$-module which is free and finitely generated as both $\mathbb{C}_\infty[T]$-, $\mathbb{C}_\infty[\tau]$-module and such that

$$\exists m = m(M) \text{ such that } (T-\theta)^m M/\tau M = 0 \tag{1.2.1}$$

We denote the dimension of M over $\mathbb{C}_\infty[\tau]$ (resp. $\mathbb{C}_\infty[T]$) by n (resp. r), these numbers are called dimension and rank of M.

We shall need the explicit matrix description of T-motives. Let $e_* = (e_1, ..., e_n)^t$ be the vector column of elements of a basis of M over $\mathbb{C}_\infty[\tau]$. There exists a matrix $\mathfrak{A} \in M_n(\mathbb{C}_\infty[\tau])$ such that

---

[1] Terminology of Anderson; Goss calls these objects abelian T-motives.

$$Te_* = \mathfrak{A}e_*, \quad \mathfrak{A} = \sum_{i=0}^{l} \mathfrak{A}_i \tau^i \text{ where } \mathfrak{A}_i \in M_n(\mathbb{C}_\infty) \tag{1.3}$$

Condition (1.2.1) is equivalent to the condition

$$\mathfrak{A}_0 = \theta E_n + N \tag{1.3.1}$$

where N is a nilpotent matrix, and the condition $m(M) = 1$ is equivalent to the condition $N = 0$.

We fix n, and we shall consider only those M whose equation (1.3) has the form (0.8), i.e. $l = 2$, $N = 0$, $\mathfrak{A}_1 = A$, $\mathfrak{A}_2 = E_n$. They have dimension n, rank $2n$, they are all pure, and there exists a neighbourhood U_0 of 0 in $\mathbb{C}_\infty$ such that if all entries of A belong to U_0 then M is uniformizable.

Definition 1.4. Let V be the space $\mathbb{C}_\infty^n$. A free r-dimensional $\mathbb{Z}_\infty$-submodule L of V is called a lattice if

(a) L generates V as a $\mathbb{C}_\infty$-module and

(b) The $\mathbb{R}_\infty$-linear envelope of L has dimension r over $\mathbb{R}_\infty$.

Numbers n, r are called the dimension and the rank of L respectively. Let $e_1, ..., e_r$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_\infty$-basis of L such that $e_1, ..., e_n$ form a $\mathbb{C}_\infty$-basis of V. Like in the theory of abelian varieties, we denote by $Z = (z_{ij})$ the Siegel matrix whose lines are coordinates of $e_{n+1}, ..., e_r$ in the basis $e_1, ..., e_n$, more exactly, the size of Z is $(r-n) \times n$ and

$$\forall i = 1, ..., r-n \qquad e_{n+i} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} z_{ij} e_j \tag{1.5}$$

Z defines L, we denote L by $\mathfrak{L}(Z)$.

2. From a matrix A to a Siegel matrix.

Recall that we consider M given by the equation (0.8). We denote this M by $M(A)$. Further, we consider the case when A belongs to a neighbourhood of 0. We have $M(0) = \mathfrak{C}_2^{\oplus n}$ where $\mathfrak{C}_2$ is the Carlitz module over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}[T]$.

Let $\omega \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} - \mathbb{F}_q$ be a fixed element. A Siegel matrix of $M(0)$ is ωE_n. We denote the lattice corresponding to ωE_n by L_0, it is the lattice of $M(0)$. We consider 4 sets $S_1, ..., S_4$:

S_1. The set of $n \times n$ matrices A.

S_2. The set of T-motives M given by the equation (0.8).

S_3. The set of $n \times n$ Siegel matrices Z.

S_4. The set of lattices of rank $r = 2n$ in C_∞^n.

We consider initial elements 0 and ωE_n of S_1, S_3 respectively and open neighbourhoods $\mathfrak{U}_1 \subset S_1$, $\mathfrak{U}_3 \subset S_3$ of these initial elements. There exists $\mathfrak{U}_1$ such that the below diagram with natural maps (namely, $\mu_{12}(A)$ is the T-motive defined by (0.8), $\mu_{24}(M)$ is the lattice of M, $\mu_{34}(Z)$ is the lattice corresponding to a Siegel matrix Z) is defined:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S_1 \arrow[d, "\mu_{12}"'] & \mathfrak{U}_1 \arrow[l, hook'] \arrow[d, "\mu_{12}"'] & \mathfrak{U}_3 \arrow[r, hook] & S_3 \arrow[d, "\mu_{34}"'] \\
S_2 & \mu_{12}(\mathfrak{U}_1) \arrow[l, hook'] \arrow[rr, "\mu_{24}"] & & S_4
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2.1}$$

Proposition 2.2. There exist neighbourhoods $\mathfrak{U}_1$, $\mathfrak{U}_3$ and a homomorphism $\mu_{13} : \mathfrak{U}_1 \to \mathfrak{U}_3$ making the above diagram commutative.

Proof. We define μ_{13} as follows. We identify $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathfrak{C}_2)$ with $\mathbb{C}_\infty$ and hence $\mathrm{Lie}(M(0))$ with $\mathbb{C}_\infty^n$. We consider the following basis $l_{0,1}, ..., l_{0,2n}$ of $L_0 \subset \mathrm{Lie}(M(0)) = \mathbb{C}_\infty^n$: $l_{0,i} = (0, ..., 0, 1, 0, ..., 0)$ (1 at the i-th place), $l_{0,n+i} = \omega l_{0,i}$, $i = 1, ..., n$. The Siegel matrix of this basis is ωE_n. Let $A \in \mathfrak{U}_1$, M its μ_{12}-image and L its lattice, i.e. its $\mu_{24} \circ \mu_{12}$-image. For any $l_0 \in L_0$ there exists a well-defined $l \in L$ which is close to l_0 (because entries of A are near 0). So, we consider a basis $l_1, ..., l_{2n}$ of L where any l_i is near the corresponding $l_{0,i}$. The Siegel matrix corresponding to $l_1, ..., l_{2n}$ is exactly the μ_{13}-image of M. By definitions, the diagram is commutative.

We denote by $\mathfrak{d}_{13}$ the degree of μ_{13} at a generic point near $0 \in \mathfrak{U}_1$.

Lemma 2.3. $\mathfrak{d}_{13} = 1$.

Proof. For a given $A \in S_1$ we denote the exponential map of $M(A)$ by Exp. We have $\mathrm{Exp}(Z) = \sum_{i=0}^\infty C_i Z^{(i)}$ where $C_i = C_i(A)$, $C_0 = 1$.

For the reader's convenience, we consider only the case $n = 1$ (the general case does not require any new ideas), hence Z, A will be denoted by z, a respectively. We denote $\theta_{ij} = \theta^{q^i} - \theta^{q^j}$. Recall that the exponent for $\mathfrak{C}_2$ has the form

$$\mathrm{Exp}_0(z) = z + \frac{1}{\theta_{20}} z^{q^2} + \frac{1}{\theta_{42}\theta_{40}} z^{q^4} + ... \tag{2.3.1}$$

($C_{2i}(0) = \frac{1}{\prod_{j=0}^{i-1} \theta_{2i,2j}}$). We denote by $y_0 \in \mathbb{C}_\infty$ a nearest-to-zero root to $\mathrm{Exp}_0(z) = 0$ (this is ξ of $\mathfrak{C}_2$ in notations of [G]). It is defined up to multiplication by elements of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$, and it generates over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}[\theta]$ the lattice of the Carlitz module $\mathfrak{C}_2$. We fix one such y_0. If a is sufficiently small then there is the only root to $\mathrm{Exp}_a(z) = 0$ near y_0, and there is the only root to $\mathrm{Exp}_a(z) = 0$ near ωy_0. We denote these roots by $z = z(a)$, $z' = z'(a)$ respectively, and we denote $z = y_0 + \delta$, $z' = \omega y_0 + \delta'$. δ (resp. δ') is a root to the power series

$$\sum_{i,j=0}^\infty d_{ij} a^i \delta^j = 0 \tag{2.3.2}$$

$$\text{resp. } \sum_{i,j=0}^\infty d'_{ij} a^i \delta'^j = 0 \tag{2.3.3}$$

where

$$d_{00} = 0, \quad d_{10} = \frac{y_0^q}{\theta_{10}} + \frac{y_0^{q^3}}{\theta_{31}\theta_{30}} + \frac{y_0^{q^5}}{\theta_{53}\theta_{51}\theta_{50}} + \frac{y_0^{q^7}}{\theta_{75}\theta_{73}\theta_{71}\theta_{70}} + ..., \quad d_{01} = 1$$

$$d'_{00}=0,\quad d'_{10}=\frac{(\omega y_0)^q}{\theta_{10}}+\frac{(\omega y_0)^{q^3}}{\theta_{31}\theta_{30}}+\frac{(\omega y_0)^{q^5}}{\theta_{53}\theta_{51}\theta_{50}}+\frac{(\omega y_0)^{q^7}}{\theta_{75}\theta_{73}\theta_{71}\theta_{70}}+...,\quad d'_{01}=1$$

Moreover, δ, δ' are the nearest-to-0 roots to (2.3.2), (2.3.3) respectively. It is clear that $d_{10}, d'_{10} \neq 0$. This means that the approximate value of δ, δ' is

$$-d_{10}a,\quad -d'_{10}a \tag{2.3.4}$$

respectively. Exactly, both δ, δ' are power series in a whose first term is given by (2.3.4). This means that

$$z=y_0-d_{10}a+\sum_{i=2}^{\infty}k_ia^i$$

$$z'=\omega y_0-d'_{10}a+\sum_{i=2}^{\infty}k'_ia^i$$

It is easy to see that $d'_{10}\neq\omega d_{10}$, hence the Siegel matrix $\mathfrak{Z}=z^{-1}z'$ (which is a number because $n=1$) is given by the formula

$$\mathfrak{Z}=\omega+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}l_ia^i \tag{2.3.5}$$

and $l_1\neq 0$. Since (for $n=1$) $\mathfrak{d}_{13}$ is the minimal i such that $l_i\neq 0$ we get that $\mathfrak{d}_{13}=1$.

For $n>1$ the calculation is the same (this is the main part of the proof, because for the case $n=1$ the result is known). Analog of (2.3.5) is

$$\mathfrak{Z}=\omega E_n+l_1A+P_{\geq 2}(A) \tag{2.3.6}$$

where $P_{\geq 2}(A)$ is a power series of entries of A such that all its terms have degree ≥ 2. Condition $l_1\neq 0$ implies $\mathfrak{d}_{13}=1$. □

3. Main theorem. We need the following terminology. Two lattices L_1, L_2 are isomorphic iff their Siegel matrices Z_1, Z_2 satisfy

$$Z_2=\gamma(Z_1)$$

where $\gamma\in GL_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q[\theta])$. We put: the degree of γ is the highest degree (as polynomials in θ) of the entries of γ.

We shall consider $\gamma\in GL_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q[\theta])$ such that $\gamma(\omega E_n)=\omega E_n$, we denote this group by $\mathfrak{S}_0$.

Theorem 3. For any k there exists a neighborhood U_k of $0\in S_1$, $U_k\subset\mathfrak{U}_1$ such that if $A,B\in U_k$ and $\mu_{13}(A)=\gamma(\mu_{13}(B))$, $\gamma\in\mathfrak{S}_0$ and such that the degree of γ is $\leq k$ then $M(A)$ is isomorphic to $M(B)$.

Remark. Condition $\mu_{13}(A)=\gamma(\mu_{13}(B))$ implies $L(M(A))=L(M(B))$.

Proof. $\gamma\in\mathfrak{S}_0$ has the form $\gamma=\begin{pmatrix}G & \omega^2H\\ H & G\end{pmatrix}$ where $G,H\in M_n(\mathbb{F}_q[\theta])$. There exists an isomorphism $\alpha:\mathfrak{S}_0\to GL_n(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}[T])$ defined by the formula $\alpha(\gamma)=\iota^{-1}(G)+\omega\iota^{-1}(H)$. We denote $\alpha(\gamma)=\sum_{i=0}^{k}U_iT^i$ where $U_i\in M_n(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$.

Let $f_{A,i}$, $f_{B,i}$ $(i=1,...,2n)$ be bases of $M(A)$, $M(B)$ respectively over $\mathbb{C}_\infty[T]$ defined as follows: $f_{A,i}=e_i$, $f_{A,n+i}=\tau e_i$ $(i=1,...,n$, e_i from Section 1), and analogically for $f_{B,i}$. They are considered as vectors columns. An isomorphism $\varphi : M(A)\to M(B)$ is given by a matrix $\Phi\in GL_{2n}(\mathbb{C}_\infty[T])$ where

$$f_{B,*}=\Phi f_{A,*} \tag{3.1}$$

Let $\Phi=\begin{pmatrix}\Phi_{11} & \Phi_{12}\\ \Phi_{21} & \Phi_{22}\end{pmatrix}$ where Φ_{ij} are $n\times n$ blocks of Φ. Condition that 3.1 is a homomorphism is equivalent to the conditions

$$\Phi_{21}=(T-\theta)\Phi_{12}^{(1)} \tag{3.2.1}$$

$$\Phi_{22}=\Phi_{11}^{(1)}-\Phi_{12}^{(1)}B \tag{3.2.2}$$

$$\Phi_{11}-A\Phi_{12}^{(1)}=\Phi_{11}^{(2)}-\Phi_{12}^{(2)}B^{(1)} \tag{3.2.3}$$

$$(T-\theta)\Phi_{12}-A\Phi_{11}^{(1)}=(T-\theta^{(1)})\Phi_{12}^{(2)}-XB \tag{3.2.4}$$

We shall find a solution to (3.2) in the form

$$\Phi_{11}=\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(U_i+S_i)T^i+U_kT^k \tag{3.3.1}$$

$$\Phi_{12}=\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}V_iT^i \tag{3.3.2}$$

where S_i, V_i $(i=0,...,k-1)$ are inknown matrices, and Φ_{21}, Φ_{22} are defined by (3.2.1), (3.2.2) respectively.

Substituting (3.3.1), (3.3.2) to (3.2.3), (3.2.4) gives us a system $\mathcal{S}$ of $2k+1$ matrix equations with a matrix parameter A and $2k+1$ matrix unknowns B, S_i, V_i $(i=0,...,k-1)$. Let fix A. We shall find a solution to $\mathcal{S}$ in a neighborhood of 0. In order to write the matrix of the linear part of $\mathcal{S}$ at 0 we introduce the following notations. Elements $\mathfrak{m}_{ij}$ of any $n\times n$-matrix $\mathfrak{M}$ will be arranged in the lexicographic order; we denote the corresponding $n^2\times 1$-matrix column by $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}$. For any $n\times n$-matrix $\mathfrak{A}$ we denote by $\mathfrak{A}_{(l)}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{A}_{(r)}$) the $n^2\times n^2$ matrix defined by the condition $\overline{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{M}}=\mathfrak{A}_{(l)}\overline{\mathfrak{M}}$ (resp. $\overline{\mathfrak{M}\mathfrak{A}}=\mathfrak{A}_{(r)}\overline{\mathfrak{M}}$). Namely, $\mathfrak{A}_{(r)}$ is a block diagonal matrix consisting of n diagonal $n\times n$-blocks each of which is equal to $\mathfrak{A}^t$, and $\mathfrak{A}_{(l)}$ is obtained from $\mathfrak{A}_{(r)}$ by some permutations of rows and columns.

Further, we consider a $(2k+1)n^2\times 1$-matrix column formed by elements (from up to down) of matrices $\overline{B}$, $\overline{S_0}$, ... , $\overline{S_{k-1}}$, $\overline{V_0}$, ... , $\overline{V_{k-1}}$; we denote it by $\mathcal{X}$ (the set of unknowns). The linear part of $\mathcal{S}$ is the following: $\mathcal{W}_1\mathcal{X}=\mathcal{W}_2\overline{A}$, where

$$\mathcal{W}_1=\begin{pmatrix}0 & (E_n)_k & 0\\ M_{21} & (\mathfrak{B}_{(r)})_k & J_k(-\theta)\\ (\mathfrak{U}_k)_{(l)} & 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}$$

where $M_{21}=\begin{pmatrix}(\mathfrak{U}_0)_{(l)} & \ldots & (\mathfrak{U}_{k-1})_{(l)}\end{pmatrix}^{t-block}$,

$$\mathcal{W}_2=\begin{pmatrix}-(\mathfrak{V}_0^{(1)})_{(r)} & \ldots & -(\mathfrak{V}_{k-1}^{(1)})_{(r)} & -(\mathfrak{U}_0^{(1)})_{(r)} & \ldots & -(\mathfrak{U}_k^{(1)})_{(r)}\end{pmatrix}^{t-block}$$

and $t-block$ means that only the block structure is transposed, but not the elements of the blocks.

We have: $\det \mathcal{W}_1 = \det \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, and in some neighborhood of 0 we have $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{W}_1^{-1}\mathcal{W}_2\overline{A}+$ terms of higher degree. Since (in our notations) $\overline{B}$ is the upper n^2 elements of $\mathcal{X}$, we have $\overline{B} = (\mathcal{W}_1^{-1})_{1bl}\mathcal{W}_2\overline{A}+$ terms of higher degree, where $(\mathcal{W}_1^{-1})_{1bl}$ means the first block line of $\mathcal{W}_1^{-1}$, i.e. its first n^2 lines. We have $(\mathcal{W}_1^{-1})_{1bl}\mathcal{W}_2 = (G+\omega H)_{(l)}^{-1}(G-\omega H)_{(r)}$. Since we have the same formula for the relation between $\mu_{13}(A)$, $\mu_{13}(B)$, we get the theorem. □

References

[A] Anderson Greg W. *t*-motives. Duke Math. J. Volume 53, Number 2 (1986), 457-502.

[G] MR1423131 (97i:11062) Goss, David Basic structures of function field arithmetic. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], 35. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996. xiv+422 pp. ISBN: 3-540-61087-1 (Reviewer: Jeremy T. Teitelbaum) 11G09 (11L05 11R58)

[H] Urs Hartl, Uniformizing the Stacks of Abelian Sheaves.
http://arxiv.org/abs/math.NT/0409341

[L] Logachev, Anderson T-motives are analogs of abelian varieties with multiplication by imaginary quadratic fields. http://arxiv.org 0907.4712.

[L1] Logachev, Fiber of the lattice map for T-motives. In preparation.

E-mail: logachev@usb.ve

Departamento de Matemáticas Universidad Simón Bolívar Apartado Postal 89000 Caracas, Venezuela and Institute for Applied Mathematics, Khabarovsk Division 680000, 92 Zaparina str., Khabarovsk city, Russia